Docket No. 87326.4080 Application No. 10/692,688 Customer No. 30734

Amendments to the Drawings:

In light of this amendment, Applicants respectfully requests that the amendment to drawings being entered and the objection to the drawings be removed.

FIG. 2 has been amended to show the balun 40, as described in the specification.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-23 are pending in this application. Claim 1 is amended to correct antecedent basis. FIG. 2 is amended with the reference 40. The specification is amended to recite the application serial number for the incorporated reference. No new matter is added.

Applicant gratefully appreciates the Examiner's indication that claims 1-23 are allowed and that claims 1-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome informalities. Applicants have accordingly amended the claims to enable all of pending claims 1-23 to be allowable.

FORMALITIES

The Office Action objects to the drawings. Specifically, the Office Action objects that the outer conductor and the balun end is not illustrated in FIG. 2. Applicant has amended FIG. 2 to include the subject matter discussed in the specification, as demonstrated in the attached replacement figure. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this objection.

The Office Action objects to the disclosure for lack of serial number and/or incorporated reference. Applicant has amended the specification to reflect the correct serial number. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this objection.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicant has amended claim 1 to obviate this rejection. Accordingly, the withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully solicited.

Applicant has not amended claims 9-10 as claim 9 is not in conflict with claim 10 as Applicant is claiming a reversal of the input signals into the respective antenna feed lines. Claim 10 offers an alternate mode of operation to that of claim 9. Therefore, these claims do not

Patent

Docket No. 87326.4080

Application No. 10/692,688

Customer No. 30734

conflict with each other but, rather, recite an alternate operational mode. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is believed to be

in condition for allowance. If it is believed that the application is not in condition for allowance

the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney if it is believed that such contact

will expedite the prosecution of the application.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, Applicant petitions for an appropriate extension

of time. Please charge any fee deficiencies or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No.

50-2036.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

Reg. No. 46,198

Date: May 26, 2005

Washington Square, Suite 1100

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036-5304

Telephone: 202-861-1500

Facsimile: 202-861-1783

9